

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/616,056	NAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David S. Blum	2813

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(1) David S. Blum.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Hatfield.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Time: 1:00PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Park

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

applicant submitted a certified translation perfecting the priority claim. Park is withdrawn as prior art. Agreement was not reached as to Shih and interpretation of claim 78.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)